#### Tóvizi Liza dr.

Feladó: Csikai Mária

**Küldve:** hétfő 2025. május 12 9:02

**Címzett:** Tóvizi Liza dr.

**Tárgy:** FW: EUCF - Application is successfully selected to receive EUCF support

----Original Message----

From: EUCF Team <no-reply@eucityfacility.eu> Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2025 4:26 AM To: Csikai Mária <csikai.maria@zuglo.hu>

Subject: EUCF - Application is successfully selected to receive EUCF support

Dear EUCF applicant,

congratulations! We are pleased to inform you that your application has been selected within the EUCF call to receive support from the EUCF. Please find the Feedback Report with the evaluation results of your application in the EUCF website user zone.

The EUCF Country Expert will contact you shortly to set up a conference call to discuss your planned activities and needs for support to develop the investment concept.

In the meantime, you can complete the information required to prepare the Grant Agreement in the EUCF website user zone.

For questions and further support, please contact us through the EUCF Helpdesk (https://eucityfacility.eu/support/helpdesk.html).

Kind regards

EUCF team www.eucityfacility.eu





# EUROPEAN CITY FACILITY Feedback Report



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 864212. The sole responsibility of this publication lies with the author. The European Union or EASME are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.



Feedback report - European City Facility		
Application number	07HU001543R	
Title of proposed investment concept	"The renovation of the Municipal Office and apartment building complex, with renewed modern working conditions, model investments and a behavioural change centre"	
Name of the municipality/local authority, grouping, or local public entity aggregating municipalities/local authorities	Budapest XIV. District	
Organization	Zugló Municipality	

Evaluation result		
TOTAL SCORE		
17.35 / 20		
SCORE PER EVALUATION CRITERION		
1. Ambition of the proposed investment project	4.6 / 7	
2. Governance structure for investment concept development	4.8 / 5	
3. Stakeholder engagement strategy	5.0 / 5	
4. Coherence and plausibility	3.0 / 3	

# Overall feedback on the evaluation

Overall, the application addresses the EUCF criteria very well. The aim of the proposed investment concept is to enhance the energy efficiency of public buildings, such as the Municipal Office, and to establish an energy advisory and training centre, which is very well aligned with the EUCF objectives. The application is particularly strong in regard to the proposed governance structure and stakeholder engagement strategy. The two mandatory supporting documents for the EUCF application were successfully submitted. More detailed feedback per evaluation criterion and on the submitted documents can be found below.



Evaluation results per criterion		
1. Ambition of the proposed investment project		
SCORE:	Following aspects are considered in the evaluation:	
<b>4.6 / 7</b> Threshold 3.5/7	o Ambition of the expected absolute investment size (EUR) o Ambition of the expected investment per capita (EUR/inhabitant) o Ambition of the expected impacts in energy savings (GWh/y) and renewable energy generation (GWh/y) o Ambition of the figures per capita of the expected impacts in energy savings (GWh/y/inhabitant) and renewable energy generation (GWh/y) o Cost-effectiveness of the project o Focus on energy efficiency measures and impacts in energy savings o Potential for replication and/or up-scaling of the proposed investment project.	
1. FEEDBACK	The proposed investment project demonstrates a moderate ambition level in relation to the other submitted applications in the regional call and to the local context, particularly due to the moderate expected impacts in terms of energy savings and renewable energy production. The proposed investment project is focused on energy efficiency measures with the majority of foreseen impacts in energy savings. The project demonstrates potential to be replicated and/or up-scaled in the region and/or in different contexts. The replication/up-scaling potential is very well addressed by the applicant.	
2. Governance structure for development of the investment concept		
SCORE:	Following aspects are considered in the evaluation:	
<b>4.8 / 5</b> Threshold 2.5/5	o Clearly defined roles and responsibilities of the different actors involved o Clearly defined decision-making processes, indicating actors with decision-making power o Appointment of person(s) in charge for the development of the investment concept o Good understanding whether internal capacities are sufficient for the successful development of an investment concept o Good understanding of how external experts can be involved in the process to provide value-added and/or build capacities. o Appropriate and robust internal organisational structure for the development of the investment concept o Overall coherence and plausibility of the elements.	



2. FEEDBACK	The application addresses criterion governance structure in an outstanding manner. The proposed organisational structure seems robust and appropriate for the development of the investment concept. The description of the governance structure is clear and well described, including the roles and responsibilities of the actors involved, persons in charge and decision-making processes as well as internal capacities for the development of the investment concept and the need for external experts.	
3. Stakeholder engagement strategy		
SCORE:	Following aspects are considered in the evaluation:	
5.0 / 5	o Clear definition of important stakeholders for the investment project	
Threshold 2.5/5	o Good understanding of the needs and expectations of the identified stakeholders towards the planned investment project o Clear definition of planned activities for fostering stakeholder engagement o Foreseen communication instruments to reach the different stakeholders o Timing of implementation of planned engagement activities o Appropriate strategy to involve identified stakeholders in the investment concept development o Overall coherence and plausibility	
3. FEEDBACK	Criterion stakeholder engagement strategy is addressed in the application in an outstanding manner. The proposed strategy for stakeholder engagement is coherent and adequate for the development of the investment concept. The description of the stakeholder engagement strategy is clear and very well described, including the definition of important stakeholders, their needs and expectations towards the investment project, planned engagement activities and foreseen communication instruments.	
4. Coherence and plausibility		
SCORE:	Following aspects are considered in the evaluation:	
3.0 / 3	o Completeness of the information provided in the application form o Coherence and plausibility of the information provided in the	
Threshold 1.5/3	application form o Coherence and reasonability of the expected investment size o Coherence and reasonability of the expected	
4. FEEDBACK	The application is complete and the information provided about the proposed investment project is coherent and plausible. Both the expected investment size and the impacts in energy savings and renewable energy generation seem coherent and realistic in relation to the proposed investment project, the local context and the other applications submitted in the regional call.	



#### **Political commitments**

## 1. Commitment to mitigation targets

Following aspects are verified:

- o Submission of a Sustainable Energy and Climate Action Plan (SECAP) or other local climate/energy plan with mitigation targets;
- o General information about the submitted plan in the application form: title, time period covered, brief description and URL, if available.
- o Mitigation targets established by the plan, including the target value and unit, the target year and corresponding page of the plan where the target is addressed.

### 2. Political commitment to the development of the investment concept

Following aspects are verified:

- o Submission of letter of support signed by the Mayor or other relevant political representative of the municipality/local authority, grouping or local public entity aggregating municipalities/local authorities;
- o Use of the template provided by the EUCF for the submission of the letter of support;